



The importance of physical activity participation among persons with disabilities

*^{1abcd}Nagoor Meera Abdullah , ^{1abc}Noorasiah Zainal Abidin, ^{1ac}Mohamad Rahizam Abdul Rahim , ^{2abcd}Zarizi Abd Rahman  & ^{3abd}Novri Gazali 

^{*1}Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam Campus, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

²Faculty of Education, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Puncak Alam Campus, Puncak Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

³Department of Physical Education, Health and Recreation, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

Received: 04 February 2022; Accepted 14 July 2022; Published 06 August 2022



ABSTRACT

Participation in physical activity and sports is beneficial for psychosocial health among children and adolescents with a disability. People with disabilities are far less likely to engage in physically active lifestyles than are people without disabilities. This study was conducted to investigate the importance of physical activity participation for persons with disabilities. A total of 100 (men = 59, women = 41) persons with disabilities aged between 10 to 40 years old participated in the study. The instrument used was The Benefits of Exercise towards Persons with Disabilities and Social Support to Exercise for Persons with Disabilities developed by Rauzon designed to investigate the importance of physical activity for disabled persons. The research instrument used for this study was a questionnaire on demographics, the benefits of exercise and social support for exercise. Overall, both men and women agreed with five main statements regarding the benefits of exercise: that they can improve blood pressure and cholesterol levels, help to avoid disease, give more energy, help to relieve tension and to have a more positive outlook on life. There is no significant relationship between family support and physical activity participation. However, for social support, there is a significant relationship between friend support and physical activity participation. The most selected statements for social support for both men and women such as offer to exercise with them, complain about the time they spend for exercise, fun exercise, rewards and help to plan activities around their exercise. Physical activity participation for persons with disabilities appears to have many benefits, and this knowledge should be shared not only among the disabled but also the non-disabled as it will help them to become better caregivers who will encourage and support the disabled in physical activities. For a deeper insight, further research with more variables is recommended.

Keywords: Persons with disabilities; physical activity participation; benefits of exercise; social support; gender

***Corresponding Author:**

Email: nagoor@uitm.edu.my



[https://doi.org/10.25299/es:ijope.2022.vol3\(2\).8907](https://doi.org/10.25299/es:ijope.2022.vol3(2).8907)

Copyright © 2022 Nagoor Meera Abdullah, Noorasiah Zainal Abidin, Mohamad Rahizam Abdul Rahim, Zarizi Abd Rahman, Novri Gazali

How to Cite: Abdullah, N.M., Zainal Abidin, N., Abdul Rahim, M.R., Abd Rahman, Z. & Gazali, N. (2022). The importance of physical activity participation among persons with disabilities. *Edu Sportivo: Indonesian Journal of Physical Education*, 3(2), 158-167. [https://doi.org/10.25299/es:ijope.2022.vol3\(2\).8907](https://doi.org/10.25299/es:ijope.2022.vol3(2).8907)

Authors' Contribution: a – Study Design; b – Data Collection; c – Statistical Analysis; d – Manuscript Preparation; e – Funds Collection



INTRODUCTION

Physical fitness illustrates a physiologic state of well-being that allows individuals to meet the demands of both health-related and skill-related fitness (Abdullah, et al., 2016). Although adolescents with physical disabilities may participate in similar leisure activities as adolescents without disabilities, as in watching TV, listening to music, and talking on the phone, researchers have found that adolescents with physical disabilities tend to participate in more passive and solitary activities. According to Pitchford et al.

(2016) physical activity promotion is needed for youth with developmental disabilities. They stated that children and adolescents with disabilities who have fewer physical activities tend to become obese and are at a greater risk for additional secondary health conditions. The reason that people with disabilities in Portugal did not participate in physical activity and sports was primarily because of a lack of suitable sports facilities and this is one of many reasons persons with disabilities reluctant to exercise (Oh & So, 2022). Disabled persons with intellectual disability are less involve activity (Lee, 2004). Lee states that persons with disabilities who are not active tend to have a sedentary life cycle which can lead problems such as greater immediate and long-term diseases, low physical fitness and poor motor skills. Furthermore, Shields and Synnot (2016) stated the need for inclusive pathways that encourage ongoing participation as children grow or as their skills develop, and for better partnerships between key stakeholders from the disability community itself, sports, education and government sectors. Most people with physical disabilities do not meet physical activity recommendations (Waltersson & Rodby-Bousquet, 2017; Verschuren et al., 2016; Carlon et al., 2013) and are exposed at higher risk of developing secondary comorbidities such as cardiovascular disease and obesity (Whitney et al., 2018; Cremer, Hurvitz, & Peterson, 2017). Physical activity movement in childhood and adolescence can influence long-term health behaviors (Mckenzie, Willis & Sheilds, 2021).

While it is recognized that such persons do not react in predictable ways either to the presence of a disability or to the special pressures of their environment, self-acceptance and a positive attitude towards the disability are consistently important across many areas of functioning. In another study, Visagie et al. (2017) findings that gender had an impact only among persons without disabilities, where women report more environmental barriers than men. Behavior changes theories recommend personal factors such as attitudes and intention are influence to health behaviors such as physical activity (Cane, O'Connor, & Michie, 2012). However, some previous findings focusing on children, early adolescence, and adults with acquired physical disability such as stroke or spinal cord injury have identified a number of environmental factors (Ginis et al., 2013; Mulligan et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2014; Shields & Synnot, 2016). These detail reviews identified personal factors (e.g., attitudes and impairments), social factors (e.g. family support, negative attention), environmental factors (e.g. equipment, transport), and policy factors (e.g. funding) that influence physical activity participation (Mckenzie, Willis & Sheilds, 2021).

Meanwhile, Te Velde et al. (2018) revealed that participating in sports is beneficial for psychosocial health among children and adolescents with a disability. Those participating in sports scored better on sports participation, health-related quality of life for feelings of athletic competence and children but not for adolescents involving in sports who score on social acceptance. Velde and team found a strong relationship between sport participation and exercise self-efficacy. In contrast, Pitchford et al. (2016) it is important that the physically disabled person is surrounded by people like his or her parents, family and friends. Even though they are motivated, people with intellectual disabilities are often unable to reverse trends in the cycle of sedentary lifestyle because they rely upon parents or caregivers for support Anderson (2011), Brundage (2011), Lee (2004), Visagie et al. (2017), Te Velde (2018), Pitchford et al. (2016). However, more insight is needed to investigate the importance of physical activity participation for adults with disabilities. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine what are the barriers occur in physical activity participation among persons with disabilities and to answer the research question: what are the barriers occur in participation among persons with disabilities

focus on different gender.

This study needs to be conducted since most literature focuses on able bodied but less literature did focuses on persons with disabilities. By conducting this study, the findings can be used to identify what are the barriers face by persons with disabilities in participating in physical activity. This study also can identify are their different barriers face by men and women with disabilities during physical activity participation. The findings can be used by many stakeholders such as Ministry of Women, Family and Community together with the expert/researchers in the area to draft a policy on how to overcome these barriers among persons with disabilities.

METHOD

This is a non-experimental design study where a survey in a form of questionnaire been organize with a purposive sampling technique.

Sample

This study comprises 100 respondents (n=100) consisting of persons with disabilities. The respondents include 59 men and 41 women. Respondents have physical disabilities such as blind and visual impairment (12), deaf and hearing impairment (21), amputation (43), cerebral palsy (16), and spinal cord injury (6) and others (2). The age range of the respondents is between 10 to 40 years old. The types of sports that the respondents are involved in are swimming (10), wheelchair basketball (7), sitting volleyball (6), wheelchair tennis (5), para-cycling (16), soccer (8), archery (4), wheelchair fencing (1), lawn ball (3), bowling tenpin (2), para-badminton (11), table tennis (4), para-athletics (13), kayaking (4) and goalball (6). The respondents must meet certain conditions to be in the study: they need to have been identified by doctors or ophthalmologists as persons with disabilities, and also must have a person with disabilities (OKU) Card identifying them as such from the Department of Social Welfare.

Instrumentation

The study used the benefits of exercise towards persons with disabilities and social support to exercise for persons with disabilities, a questionnaire developed by [Rauzon \(2002\)](#) designed to investigate the importance of physical activity for disabled persons. The internal consistency of Cronbach's alpha for benefits of exercise scale is 0.81 and that for Social Support scale is 0.93. There are two parts in the questionnaire: Part A and Part B. Part A requires demographic information including gender, age, education level, sports involvement, and types of disabilities. Part B consists of 23 questions: 10 questions on the benefits of physical activity and 13 questions about the social support for exercise.

Data analysis

Analyzed data using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) for Windows ver.22.0. Descriptive statistics were used to discover the relationship between the benefits and importance of physical activity participation among the physically disabled. Standard deviation and means were calculated using the Independent T-test and Pearson Correlation at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the descriptive analysis of the respondents with gender, age, type of disability, education level and involvement in sports.

Table 1. Descriptive Analysis on the Respondents

Variables	Frequency
Gender	
Male	51
Female	49
Age (years)	
< 15	8
16-25	50
26-35	34
>36	8
Disability	
Amputees	42
Spinal Cord Injury	8
Blind	11
Deaf	23
Cerebral Palsy	12
Others	4
Education Level	
UPSR	20
SPM	44
Diploma	21
Degree	13
Others	2
Sports participated	
Swimming	9
Fencing	2
Wheelchair basketball	6
Lawn bowl	4
Sitting volleyball	6
Tenpin bowling	6
Wheelchair tennis	5
Badminton	12
Cycling	13
Table tennis	4
Soccer	8
Athletics	11
Archery	4
Kayak	3
Others	7

Based on Table 2 below, there are five low means for the benefits of exercise which indicates that most of the respondents agree with the statements. Men agree that exercise helps to have a more positive outlook on life (2.41 ± 1.318), helps to perform routine physical tasks more easily (2.42 ± 1.264), improves blood pressure (2.49 ± 1.338), helps to relieve tension (2.63 ± 1.400), and improves cholesterol levels (2.69 ± 1.289); while for women, regular exercise can give more energy (2.44 ± 1.115), helps to avoid disease (2.44 ± 1.072), helps to perform routine physical tasks more easily (2.59 ± 1.133), helps to have a more positive outlook on life (2.63 ± 1.247), and improves cholesterol levels (2.71 ± 1.149). Even though the results are slightly different, both men and women agree that regular exercise can help them to improve their cholesterol levels.

Table 2. The Mean and Standard Deviation for Benefits and Exercise

Benefits of exercise regular exercise can:	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Dev
Improve my blood pressure.	Men	59	2.49	1.338
	Women	41	2.76	1.023
Improve my cholesterol levels	Men	59	2.69	1.289
	Women	41	2.71	1.149
Help me avoid disease.	Men	59	2.76	1.074
	Women	41	2.44	1.072
Give me more energy.	Men	59	2.71	1.184
	Women	41	2.44	1.115
Help me relieve tension.	Men	59	2.63	1.400
	Women	41	2.80	1.351
Help me have a more positive outlook on life	Men	59	2.41	1.318
	Women	41	2.63	1.247
Help me perform routine physical tasks more easily.	Men	59	2.42	1.264
	Women	41	2.59	1.133

Table 3 below shows that the five highest results cited by men are “criticize or made fun of them for exercising” (4.00 ± 1.862), “give rewards for exercising” (3.99 ± 1.667), “offer to exercise with them” (3.42 ± 1.055), “give helpful reminders to exercise” (3.36 ± 1.034), and “give encouragement to stick with my exercise program” (3.32 ± 1.259). The five highest results of social support for women are “criticize or made fun of them for exercising” (4.10 ± 1.594), “helps to plan activities around their exercise” (3.80 ± 1.077), “complain about the time spent for exercising” (3.73 ± 1.230), “give encouragement to stick with exercise program” (3.67 ± 1.047) and “give rewards for exercising” (3.66 ± 1.583). Certainly, the findings show that the types of social support are different for men and women because of the different attention that they get from people surrounding them. They agree that “criticize and make fun of them” is a factor of support for them to participate in physical activity. Besides that, both men and women get encouragement from family and friends to stick with the exercise program, and “gives them rewards for exercising” is also a common factor of support.

Table 3. The Mean and the Standard Deviation for Social Support

Social Support	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Dev
Offer to exercise with me	Men	59	3.42	1.055
	Women	41	3.57	1.028
Give me helpful reminders to exercise	Men	59	3.36	1.034
	Women	41	3.61	0.848
Give me encouragement to stick with my exercise program.	Men	59	3.32	1.259
	Women	41	3.67	1.047
Complain about the time I spend exercising.	Men	59	3.29	1.584
	Women	41	3.73	1.230
Criticize me or made fun of me for exercising.	Men	59	4.00	1.862
	Women	41	4.10	1.594
Give me rewards for exercising.	Men	59	3.99	1.667
	Women	41	3.66	1.583
Help me plan activities around my exercise.	Men	59	3.09	1.212
	Women	41	3.80	1.077

Table 4. Results for the Importance of Participation based on Independent T-Test

	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Dev	P value*
Benefit	Men	59	2.64	0.932	.746
	Women	41	2.70	1.024	.750

* $p < 0.05$

Table 4 shows that the mean for “benefits for participation” for men is 2.64 ± 0.932 compared to women at mean 2.7 ± 1.024 . The result is not significant among the men (.746; $p > 0.05$) and the women (.750; $p > 0.05$).

Table 5. Results for Social Support based on Independent T-Test

Type of support	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Dev	p value*
Family support	Men	59	3.04	.902	.104
	Women	41	3.29	.839	.088
Friends support	Men	59	3.20	.860	.123
	Women	41	3.42	.647	.118

Based on Table 5 above, there are two types of social support identified that is social support from family and that from friends. For men, the mean for family social support is 3.04 ± 0.903 while for women it is 3.29 ± 0.839 which is higher. Similarly, the mean for men regarding friends’ social support is 3.20 ± 0.861 while the mean social support for women is 3.42 ± 0.647 . The significant result for family social support is 0.408, that is more than the p-value, $p > 0.05$ different from the friends’ social support that has significant value (0.006; $p < 0.05$). The results may be due to disabled persons usually being in the company of friends in a sharing community, which will enable them to do physical activities together, compared to the time spent with their family members who need to work and therefore have limited time with them.

Table 6. The Relationship between Social Support factors and the Importance of Physical Activity Participation based on Pearson Correlation Test

		Benefit	Support
Benefit	Pearson Correlation	1	-.174*
	Sig. (1-tailed)		.042
	N	100	100
Support	Pearson Correlation	-.174*	1
	Sig. (1-tailed)	.042	
	N	100	100

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (1-tailed).

Table 6 reveals that there is a significant correlation between social support factors and the importance of physical activity participation among persons with disabilities because the significant p-value is (0.042; $p < 0.05$). The social support groups around the persons with disabilities are encouraging them to do physical activities in order to live a healthy life.

The Importance of Physical Activity Participation among Persons with Disabilities

Te Velde et al. (2018) suggest that participation in any kind of activity would depends on their social friendships and relationships with others. As noted earlier, the need for increasing physical activity is well established, not as much is known about the determinants of physically active lifestyles (Wilhite, Martin, & Shank, 2016). This is because there is a lack of knowledge in the area. They need to be given more information about the benefits of physical activity in their daily life. According to, Shields and Synnot

(2016), disabled women also scored lower on the social scale compared to female secondary school students in Kenyon's study. Differences in body esteem and the factor's differential contribution to involvement in physical activity in males and females should be considered. Compared to men, women might be more affected by regard for their physical being. It means that there are slight differences between men and women's opinions on physical activity as found in this study. However, in this study it was found that they agreed on five factors in benefits of exercise, i.e., regular exercise can improve blood pressure, improve cholesterol levels, help to avoid disease, give them more energy, help to relieve tension, and help them to have a more positive outlook on life.

Social Support Factors Contribute to Physical Activity for Persons with Disabilities

There are significant values between the social support factors and physical activity participation as can be viewed in the study. Social support undoubtedly plays a big role in making persons with disabilities participate in physical activity. Brundage (2011) hypothesized that reported physical activity levels of the parents or caregivers of the children or adults with disabilities will be lower than nationally recommended physical activity guidelines. It also shows that social support is important in supporting persons with disabilities to do physical activity and they should give some time to exercise with their people surrounding them. The results show that the five most selected statements for social support for both men and women are: offer to exercise, reminders to exercise, encouragement with exercise program, complain about the time they spend for exercise, criticize or made fun exercising, rewards, and help to plan activities around their exercise.

The Relationship between Social Support Factors and the Physical Activity Participation

The finding shows that the correlation between the social support factors and physical activity for persons with disabilities. Well over half of physically disabled females said they desire to take part in activities when watching other individuals with disabilities participating. They also felt encouraged when they saw people in their surroundings doing physical activity as this made them want to join them. On the other hand, they felt discouraged by the notion of participating solely for rehabilitative reasons (Calzonetti, 1988). Besides that, social factors involving family and friends greatly influence persons with disabilities. The knowledge about the importance of physical activity is most needed for both the abled and the disabled. Besides that, there are more factor contribute to physical activity participation in either positive or negative factors.

CONCLUSION

The current study exhibit that physical activity participation gives many benefits and has great importance for persons with disabilities. Besides that, social factors involving family and friends greatly influence persons with disabilities to participate in physical activity. The knowledge about the importance of physical activity is most needed for both the abled and the persons with disabilities. Besides that, there are more factors contribute to physical activity participation in either positive or negative factors. The quantity of participation in physical activity among people with disabilities is affected by many factors set of barriers that are unique to this population. As the long-term effects the level of physical inactivity that can lead to serious minor health problems among persons with disabilities, accepting the factors that influence participation in physical activity is important to help plan successful involvements and strategies that increase their level of commitment in activity from time to time. Some implications that can be

discussed here is that persons with disabilities should be given choices in selecting their type of physical activities and also be with someone that are comfortable with. Some limitations in the current study warrant comment and suggest directions for future research. As when analyzed the needs of people with disabilities in small groups, it is difficult to generalize these findings. Although areas for improvement been identified, further studies should confirm which areas should be improved first.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the co-authors for their brilliant contributions. Acknowledgement also goes to the Dean of the Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation, Universiti Teknologi MARA for his continuous support and also to the authority personnel of the center and not to forget the respondent who been involve in the study.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, N. M., Mohamed, M., Tumijan, W., Parnabas, V., Ponnusamy, V., Shapie, M. N. M., & Omar-Fauzee, M. S. (2016). The Differences in Physical Fitness Levels Between Hearing and Visually Impaired Students. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Colloquium on Sports Science, Exercise, Engineering and Technology 2015 (ICoSSEET 2015)* (pp. 203–213). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-691-1_22
- Abdullah, N. M., Tumijan, W., Parnabas, V., Shapie, M. N. M., Hamid, N. A., Mohamed, M., & Ahmad, A. (2016). Predicting the Physical Fitness Level Among Students with Hearing Impairment. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Colloquium on Sports Science, Exercise, Engineering and Technology 2015 (ICoSSEET 2015)*, 2015(ICoSSEET 2015), 67–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-691-1>
- Anderson, K. K. (2012). *Participation and children with physical disabilities: A program evaluation of a physical activity curriculum for children with physical disabilities in the Fargo Moorhead area*. University of Agriculture and Applied Science.
- Brundage, V. M. (2011). *The influence of parent/caregiver physical activity levels on the physical activity levels of children/adults with disabilities*. Indiana University of Pennsylvania.
- Calzonetti, K. A. (1988). *Participation in physical activity by disabled females in Canada*. University of Alberta.
- Cane, J., O'Connor, D., & Michie, S. (2012). Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implementation Science*, 7(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-7-37>
- Carlson, S. L., Taylor, N. F., Dodd, K. J., & Shields, N. (2013). Differences in habitual physical activity levels of young people with cerebral palsy and their typically developing peers: A systematic review. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 35(8), 647–655. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2012.715721>
- Cremer, N., Hurvitz, E. A., & Peterson, M. D. (2017). Multimorbidity in Middle-Aged Adults with Cerebral Palsy. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 130(6), 744–744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2016.11.044>

- Ginis, K. A. M., Nigg, C. R., & Smith, A. L. (2013). Peer-delivered physical activity interventions: An overlooked opportunity for physical activity promotion. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 3(4), 434–443. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13142-013-0215-2>
- Lee, B. (2004). *Parental values and concerns about participation in physical activity by persons with intellectual disabilities*. Michigan State University.
- Mckenzie, G., Willis, C., & Shields, N. (2021). Barriers and facilitators of physical activity participation for young people and adults with childhood-onset physical disability: a mixed methods systematic review. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 63(8), 914–924. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmnc.14830>
- Mulligan, H. F., Hale, L. A., Whitehead, L., & David Baxter, G. (2012). Barriers to physical activity for people with long-term neurological conditions: A review study. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 29(3), 243–265. <https://doi.org/10.1123/apaq.29.3.243>
- Oh, A., & So, W. Y. (2022). Assessing the Needs of People with Disabilities for Physical Activities and Sports in South Korea. *Healthcare (Switzerland)*, 10(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10020265>
- Pitchford, E. A., Siebert, E., Hamm, J., & Yun, J. (2016). Parental perceptions of physical activity benefits for youth with developmental disabilities. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 121(1), 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-121.1.25>
- Rauzon, T. A. (2002). Barriers to participation in physical activity/exercise for women with physical disabilities (Doctoral dissertation). University of Utah.
- Shields, N., & Synnot, A. (2016). Perceived barriers and facilitators to participation in physical activity for children with disability: A qualitative study. *BMC Pediatrics*, 16(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-016-0544-7>
- Te Velde, S. J., Lankhorst, K., Zwinkels, M., Verschuren, O., Takken, T., de Groot, J., Backx, F. J. G., de Groot, J. F., Lankhorst, K. M., Nijboer, T. C. W., Takken, T., Smits, D. W., Verschuren, O. W., Visser-Meily, J. M. A., Volman, M. J., & Wittink, H. W. (2018). Associations of sport participation with self-perception, exercise self-efficacy and quality of life among children and adolescents with a physical disability or chronic disease—a cross-sectional study. *Sports Medicine - Open*, 4(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-018-0152-1>
- Verschuren, O., Peterson, M. D., Balemans, A. C. J., & Hurvitz, E. A. (2016). Exercise and Physical Activity Recommendations for People with Cerebral Palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 58(8), 798–808. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmnc.13053>
- Visagie, S., Eide, A. H., Dyrstad, K., Mannan, H., Swartz, L., Schneider, M., Mji, G., Munthali, A., Khogali, M., Rooy, G. van, Hem, K. G., & MacLachlan, M. (2017). Factors related to environmental barriers experienced by persons with and without disabilities in diverse African settings. *PLoS ONE*, 12(10), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186342>
- Waltersson, L., & Rodby-Bousquet, E. (2017). Physical Activity in Adolescents and Young Adults with Cerebral Palsy. *BioMed Research International*, 2017, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8080473>

- Whitney, D. G., Hurvitz, E. A., Ryan, J. M., Devlin, M. J., Caird, M. S., French, Z. P., Ellenberg, E. C., & Peterson, M. D. (2018). Noncommunicable disease and multimorbidity in young adults with cerebral palsy. *Clinical Epidemiology*, 10, 511–519. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEPS159405>
- Wilhite, B., Martin, D., & Shank, J. (2016). Facilitating Physical Activity among Adults with Disabilities. *Therapeutic Recreation Journal*, 50(1), 33–54. <https://doi.org/10.18666/trj-2016-v50-i1-6790>
- Williams, T. L., Smith, B., & Papathomas, A. (2014). The barriers, benefits and facilitators of leisure time physical activity among people with spinal cord injury: a meta-synthesis of qualitative findings. *Health Psychology Review*, 8(4), 404–425. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2014.898406>